Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,806	O'NEAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andriae M. Holt	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Andriae M. Holt</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Monica Kitts</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 December 2008	Time: <u>2:15 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 25-28		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	A	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner contacted Ms. Kitts to discuss grammatical changes in the claims to advance application to allowance. The changes included: claims 25 and 26, the insertion of the term "A" before "Synergistic herbicidal mixture" in line 1; claim 27, line 2, the insertion of the term "and" after "claim 1"; and claim 28 line 2, the insertion of the term "and" after "component C)". Ms. Kitts agreed to the changes per Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)